#### From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

### **PCT**

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING
TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL
PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY
(CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION
TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

MCMANUS, Kimberly A.
Sim & McBurney
330 University Avenue
Toronto, Ontario M5G
CANADA

R7 RECEIVED
DEC 1 0 2008

Date of mailing (day/inonth/year) 27 November 2008 (27.11.2008)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-61 KAM

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

International filing date (day/month/year)
14 May 2007 (14.05.2007)

Priority date (day/month/year) 12 May 2006 (12.05.2006)

SIM & McBURNEY

SIM, LOWMAN, ASHTON & MCKAYLLP

Applicant

ODIDI, Isa et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-61 KAM	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 helow	
International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 May 2007 (14.05.2007)	Priority date (day/month/year) 12 May 2006 (12.05.2006)	
International Patent Classification (8th See relevant information in Form F	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant ODIDI, Isa			

1.	This international preliminary n International Searching Authori	eport on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the ty under Rule 44 bis.1(a).
2.	This REPORT consists of a total	of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.
	In the attached sheets, any refer to the international preliminary	ence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
3.	This report contains indications	relating to the following items:
	Box No. J	Basis of the report
	Box No. II	Priority
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority

	Date of issuance of this report 17 November 2008 (17.11.2008)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Athina Nickitas-Etienne
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt04.pct@wipo.int

#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

То:

To: SIM & MCBURNEY 6th Floor 330 University Avenue TORONTO, Ontario			PCT VRITTEN OPINION OF THI TIONAL SEARCHING AUT  (PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
Canada, M5G 1R7		Date of mailing	31 August 2007 (31-08-200	77)
Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-61 KAM		FOR FURTHER AC	CTION te paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862	International filing date (c) 14 May 2007 (14-05-20		Priority date (day month ve 12 May 2006 (12-05-200	ar) (6)
International Patent Classification (PC PC. 461K 9/06 (2006.01), .461K 31/4.461K 47/44 (2006.01), .461K 9/52 (2006.01)	<i>185</i> (2006.01)4 <i>61K 47/0</i>	2 (2006.01) , .4 <i>61K 47</i>	<b>/30</b> (2006.01) .	
Applicant ODIDI, ISA ET AL				
1. This opinion contains indications rel	ating to the following items	s:		
[X] Box No. I Basis	of the opinion			
[ ] Box No. II Priori	ty			
[X] Box No. III Non-e	establishment of opinion wi	th regard to novelty, in	entive step and industrial ap	plicability
[ ] Box No. IV Lack	of unity of invention			
	oned statement under Rule cability; citations and expla		d to novelty, inventive step o statement	r industrial
[X] Box No. VI Certa	in documents cited			
[X] Box No. VII Certa	in defects in the internation	al application		
[X] Box No. VIII Certa	in observations on the inter	national application		
FURTHER ACTION     If a demand for international preliminary of Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that has notified the International Bureau under t	t this does not apply where the a	nolicant chooses an Author	It other man mis one to be me in	C. Tallo the ellection in Div
If this opinion is, as provided above, consi where appropriate, with amendments, befo from the priority date, whichever expires I	re the expiration of 3 months tr	the IPEA, the applicant is in om the date of mailing of Fo	wited to submit to the IPEA a writern PCT ISA 220 or before the ex	ten reply together, piration of 22 months
For further options, see Form PCT ISA 22	20.			
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT	7/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing address of the ISA/C Canadian Intellectual Property Office Place du Portage I. C114 - 1st Floor. Bo 50 Victoria Street Gatineau. Quebec K1A 0C9 Facsimile No.: 001-819-953-2476		tion of this opinion	Authorized officer Charles Greenough	819-994-0243

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

Bo	x No	o. I	Basis of this opinion	
1.	Wit	lh r	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of	
	ĮΧ	]	the international application in the language in which it was filed	
	ı	•	a named of the international approximation	which is the language of a
			translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).	
2.	•		This opinion has been established taking into account the rectification of an obvious mistake to this Authority under Rule 91 (Rule 43bis.1(a))	
3.	Wit inve	h re enti	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application tion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	and necessary to the claimed
	<b>a</b> . 1	type	e of material	
		l	a sequence listing	
		ĺ	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	<b>b</b> . 1	ion	mat of material	
		ſ	] on paper	
		1	] in electronic form	
	c. 1	lim	ne of filing/furnishing	
		1	contained in the international application as filed.	
		ı	liled together with the international application in electronic form	
		ı	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	•
4.	[	J	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s)	relating thereto has
			been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additi the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furn	onal copies is identical to that in ished.
5.	Ado	litie	ional comments:	
			•	
٠				

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. 111 The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of: [ ] the entire international application [X] claim Nos. 67-69 because: relate to the following [X] the said international application, or the said claim Nos. 67-69 subject matter which does not require an international search (specify): Claims 67-69 are directed to a method for treatment of the human or animal body by surgery or therapy, are not required to be searched nor is a written opinion required by this Authority. Regardless, this Authority has established a written opinion based on the alleged effect or purpose/use of the product defined in claims 67-69. the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claim Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): are so inadequately supported [ ] the claims, or said claims Nos. by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify): [ ] no international search report has been established for said claims Nos. [ ] a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit: [ ] furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. [ ] turnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rule 13ter. 1(a) or (b). a meaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant did not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not available to the International Searching Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it. [ ] the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions. See Supplemental Box for further details.

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or indus uch statement	trial applicability;
•	
11-14, 20, 26, 40-62, 65, 75, 77	YES
7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21-25, 27-39, 63, 64, 66-74, 76	NO
11-14, 20, 26, 40-62, 65, 75, 77	YES
7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21-25, 27-39, 63, 64, 66-74, 76	NO
. 70-77	YES
<u>ne</u>	NO
1	

#### 2. Citations and explanations:

- D1: US 6 607 751 (Odidi et al.)
- D2: US 6 627 635 (Palermo et al.)
- D3. US 2006/0039864 (Bartholomaus et al.)
- D4: US 4 946 853 (Bannon et al.)

Claims 1-4, 9, 15-19, 21, 23-25, 27-37, 70-74 are not novel and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT. Document D1 discloses a controlled release pharmaceutical device comprising a controlled release agent and a pharmaceutical active such as morphine. The device may also comprise glyceryl stearate and a lubricant such as magnesium stearate or tale. Also, although D1 does not explicitly state the subject matter of claims 24 and 27-37, it is assumed the composition of D1 will react in the same way as it is made up of the same components as claimed in claims 1-4. Given the above objection, claims 1-4, 9, 15-19, 21, 23-25, 27-37, 70-74 are also considered to lack an inventive step in light of the described prior art and thus fails to comply with Article 33(3) of the PCT.

Claims 1, 2, 15-17, 21, 23-25, 27-37, 64, 70, 71, 74 are not novel and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT. Document D2 discloses a sustained release oral dosage form comprising an orally active opioid agonist and a sustained release carrier which may be incorporated in a matrix formulation. The dosage form may also comprise gelling agents and waxes. Also, although D2 does not explicitly state the subject matter of claims 24 and 27-37, it is assumed the composition of D2 will react in the same way as it is made up of the same components as claimed in claims 1 and 2. Given the above objection, claims 1, 2, 15-17, 21, 23-25, 27-37, 64, 70, 71, 74 are also considered to lack an inventive step in light of the described prior art and thus fails to comply with Article 33(3) of the PCT.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 15-17, 21-25, 27-37, 39, 63, 64, 66, 70, 71, 74 are not novel and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT. Document D3 discloses an oral dosage form with controlled release of an addictive substance. The dosage form may also comprise a wax, delayed release matrix auxiliary substances, at least one substance which irritates the nasal passages and a delayed release coating. This dosage form may be packaged in capsules. Also, although D3 does not explicitly state the subject matter of claims 24 and 27-37, it is assumed the composition of D3 will react in the same way as it is made up of the same components as claimed in claims 1 and 2. Given the above objection, claims 1, 2, 5, 15-17, 21-25, 27-37, 39, 63, 64, 66, 70, 71, 74 are also considered to lack an inventive step in light of the described prior art and thus fails to comply with Article 33(3) of the PCT.

Claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21, 23, 24, 27-38, 64, 66-74, 76 are not novel and do not comply with Article 33(2) of the PCT. Document D4 discloses a preparation comprising an addictive substance (nicotine) uniformly distributed in a semi-solid medium, said medium comprising a gel-forming agent such as bentonite or hectorite and a solvent such as stearyl alcohol. This preparation may be in the form of a paste. Also, although D4 does not explicitly state the subject matter of claims 24 and 27-37, it is assumed the composition of D4 will react in the same way as it is made up of the same components as claimed in claims 1-5. Given the above objection, claims 1-5, 7, 9, 10, 15-19, 21, 23, 24, 27-38, 64, 66-74, 76 are also considered to lack an inventive step in light of the described prior art and thus fails to comply with Article 33(3) of the PCT.

Claims 6, 8, 11-14, 20, 26, 40-62, 65, 75, 77 are novel and are considered to involve an inventive step and comply with Articles 33(2) and 33(3) of the PCT.

The subject matter of claims 1-66, 70-77 is considered to be industrially applicable and thus complies with the requirements of Article 33(4) of the PCT.

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

Box No. V	Certain documents cit	ed		
1 Certain	published documents (Rules 43/			m to the discount for dainer
	Application No. Patent No.	Publication date (day month year)	Filing date (day month year)	Priority date (valid claim) (day month year)
	US 2007/0104778	10-05-2007	14-05-2007	12-05-2006
				•
			•	
2. Non-wri	tten disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 a	and 70.9)		
	Kind of non-written disclosure	e Date	of non-written disclosure	Date of written disclosure referring to non-written disclosure
			(dav month vear)	<u>(dav month year)</u>
				·
				X
				1/3

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:  The description does not comply with Article 5 of the PCT. All documents referred to in the description of an application must be available to the public. Reference to the document on page 1, line 2 must be deleted or replaced by its corresponding patent number or publication number.
available to the public. Reference to the document on page 1, line 2 must be defeted or replaced by its corresponding patent number of
·
·

International application No. PCT/CA2007/000862

#### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made.

Claims 24, 27-37, 46-56 do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT for being directed to the desired result rather than to the combination necessary to achieve that result as described in the description.

Claims 70, 71, 73 do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT for being directed to the desired result rather than to the combination necessary to achieve that result as described in the description. Specifically, the portions of the claims, "the paste composition is non-newtonian, thixotropic and/or pseudoplastic", is directed to the desired result.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 70, 71, 73 lack conciseness and do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT and Rule 6.1(a) of the PCT. The claims should not be unduly multiplied so as to obscure the definition of the claimed invention. The number of claims shall be reasonable in consideration of the nature of the alleged invention claimed.

Claim 9 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claim shall be clear and concise. The inclusion of the expression "such as" causes ambiguity.

Claim 21 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claim shall be clear and concise. The claim does not explicitly define what the "non-dissolved particles" are. Also, it is unclear whether the particles must be less than 100 microns OR may be more than 100 micron, but less than 200 microns OR may be more than 200 microns but less than 500 microns OR may be more than 500 microns but less than 1000 microns.

Claims 27-30 do not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claims shall be clear and concise. The expression "not significantly affected" does not define how affected the dissolution is nor does it define in what way it is affected.

Claim 72 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claim shall be clear and concise. Claims 64 and 65 define uses rather than compositions. Perhaps dependence upon claim 71 was intended.

Claim 73 does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. The claim shall be clear and concise. The claim is redundant in view of claim 72.

The statement found on page 9, lines 4-11 of the description does not comply with Article 6 of the PCT. This is a general statement which implies that the extent of protection may be expanded in some vague and imprecise way.